application directly from the applicant. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above address. A copy of any protest or motion to intervene must be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–16608 Filed 6–22–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Application Tendered for Filing with the Commission

June 17, 1998.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- a. Type of Application: Minor license.
- b. *Project No.:* P-11616-000.
- c. Date Filed: June 1, 1998.
- d. *Applicant:* City of Portland, Michigan.
- e. *Name of Project:* Portland Municipal Hydroelectric Project.
- f. *Location:* On the Grand River in Ionia County, Michigan.
- g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–824(r).
- h. Applicant Contact: Robert Masselink, P.E., Glen Hendrix, Earth Tech, Inc., 5555 Glenwood Hills Pkwy, Grand Rapids, MI 49588, (616) 942– 9600.
- i. FERC Contact: Michael Spencer at (202) 219–2846.
- j. *Comment Date:* 60 days from the date of filing of the application.
- k. Description of Project: The constructed project consists of a dam and reservoir, a forebay and powerhouse located at the south abutment containing two turbine-generator units with a total installed capacity of 375 kilowatts, and appurtenant facilities. The project will generate about 1,572 megawatt-hours per year.

l. With this notice, we are initiating consultation with the MICHIGAN STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER (SHPO), as required by § 106, National Historic Preservation Act, and

the regulations of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 36 CFR 800.4.

m. Pursuant to Section 4.32(b)(7) of 18 CFR of the Commission's regulations, if any resource agency, Indian Tribe, or person believes that an additional scientific study should be conducted in order to form an adequate factual basis for a complete analysis of the application on its merit, the resource agency, Indian Tribe, or person must file a request for a study with the Commission not later than 60 days from the date of filing of the application, and serve a copy of the request on the applicant.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–16609 Filed 6–22–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 1759]

Wisconsin Electric Power Company; Notice of Meetings

June 17, 1998.

From July 14 to July 16, 1998, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission staff will be meeting with Wisconsin Electric Power Company (WE) and the Collaborative Team to identify and discuss non-project uses of project lands and waters and related issues concerning the Way Dam and Michigamme Reservoir Project (Project No. 1759), which is located on the Michigamme River near Crystal Falls, Michigan. The Way Dam impounds the approximate 6,400-acre Michigamme Reservoir. The Michigamme Reservoir operates as a storage basin for high spring and fall flows, which are released during periods of lower flow in the summer and winter. The meetings will be conducted at WE's office, located at 800 Industrial Park Drive, Iron Mountain, Michigan 49801. On July 14, the meeting will be conducted at 8 a.m., and on July 15 and 16, 1998, the meetings will be conducted at 9 a.m.

If you would like more information about the Upper Menominee River Basin Projects, in which the Way Dam and Michigamme Reservoir Project is part of, please contact one of the individuals:

Patti Leppert-Slack, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE Rm 72–33, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 219–2767, E-mail: patricia.leppertslack@ferc.fed.us Rita Hayen, Wisconsin Electric Power Company, 333 W. Everett Street, Milwaukee, WI 53203, (414) 221–2413, E-mail:

rita.hayen@wemail.wisenergy

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-16610 Filed 6-22-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6113-5]

Solicitation of Additional Pilot Projects Under Project XL; June 12, 1998

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; solicitation of additional pilot projects under project XL to "Reinvent" Environmental Regulations and Policies.

SUMMARY: Project XL, which stands for "eXcellence and Leadership," is a national pilot program that provides a unique opportunity to test innovative ways of achieving better and more costeffective public health and environmental protection. Under Project XL, EPA offers flexibility in its regulations, policies, procedures, processes and guidance, as well as other benefits to encourage companies, communities and other project sponsors to develop and test "cleaner, cheaper and smarter" alternatives to the current system. As of May 1998, seven pilot projects are being implemented and 20 more are in development. Several project sponsors have already achieved a number of significant benefits by participating in XL, including substantial cost savings, increased operational flexibility, better stakeholder relationships, increased environmental protection, and the ability to adapt processes and products more quickly to changes in consumer demand.

One company, for example, in just the first year of its pilot project, was able to consolidate a number of routine reports into two per year and use alternative means to meet air pollution control technology requirements. In addition, the company was able to achieve substantial environmental improvements while saving nearly \$176,000 in operating costs. The company is also expecting to avoid \$10 million in future capital spending.

Another company—also just in its project's first year—has avoided millions of dollars worth of production delays by eliminating 30–50 permit reviews while substantially increasing recycling, reducing solid and hazardous